**COMPSCI 345 / SOFTENG 350**

**Tutorial 10: Peer review**

**Worth 1% of your final grade**

**Tutorial exercises should be undertaken in pairs to discuss ideas**

**Each partner must write their worksheet report in their own words**

**You should plan to spend 50 minutes on this tutorial**

**Upload your completed worksheet file to Canvas**

**Due by 6pm Friday 12 June**

**Aim**

The aim of this tutorial is to review assignments from peers.

**Activity**

Each student has been assigned two peer reviews for Assignment 3. Download the assignments to look at the prototypes, read through the text and look at the videos. Discuss what is notable about each with your partner. The discussion with a partner is helpful to see how you and your partner “notice” similar or different aspects as strengths and areas for improvement.

*Section 1. Reviews*. Write down the students’ names, and group numbers (if indicated), for the assignments that you review. For each assignment, describe one strength and one area for improvement, within 200 words for both (i.e., 100 words per assignment).

*Section 2. Comparison*. Compare one notable aspect of both assignments, in 100 words. For example: you could compare assignments based on visual design, functionality prototyped or on the focus of usage testing. You may compare any aspect of the assignments. Ensure to clearly describe how the aspects were different by referring to specific parts of the assignment prototypes, text or videos.

You may refer to lectures, course textbooks, tutorials or assignments. References are not included in wordcount.

**Submission**

Input your individual reviews (section 1) to the peer review comment area on Canvas, so that teams can receive and benefit from your feedback. You do not need to fill the rubric. The Canvas Peer Review does not need to be “complete.”

To ensure that you receive the 1% for this worksheet, you must submit your completed worksheet to Canvas assignment page for Tutorial 10.

**Tutorial 10 Worksheet Rubric**

*Criteria*

* Reviews Section 1: Relates to assignments, comments on specific strengths and areas for improvement.
* Comparison Section 2: Compares assignments on an aspect that is relevant to HCI.
* Writeup: clear, professional.

*Assessment of criteria*

Criteria are assessed as excellent, good, satisfactory, unsatisfactory or fail.